



July 10, 2022

Machine Learning Reveals Precisely How Local Healthcare Really Is

Sanjula Jain, Ph.D.

Most healthcare veterans know that *healthcare is local* and, therefore, “know” that *demand for healthcare services varies between markets*. Despite this, health executives across the country make decisions based on “best practices” from “highly ranked” leaders, with the leaders established based on metrics such as size (e.g., HCA largest by revenue), quality (e.g., Intermountain), or overall “ranking” (e.g., *U.S. News* ranks Mayo Clinic as #1 best hospital). While there is value in learning from aspirational peers like HCA, Mayo Clinic or Intermountain, **benchmarking to develop evidence-based strategies requires an algorithmic approach.**

To enable evidence-based benchmarking, we leveraged our SimilarityEngine™ to generate the [SimilarityIndex™ | Markets Report](#) published last week, as well as an accompanying [interactive tool](#), which is the first application of our ongoing SimilarityIndex™ benchmarking series.

Background

While resources such as the Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care have attempted to highlight local variation through specific data points, the health economy has rarely operationalized these insights into strategic plans. Although national or even state-level incidence rates can indicate the health status of a population generally, incidence rates within a locality (e.g., ZIP Code, county, CBSA) more precisely reflect healthcare demand in an individual market. Said another way, applying a national benchmark to an individual CBSA is inherently misleading, sometimes dramatically so. For example, applying national primary care supply numbers (71 per 100K) to Salt Lake City, where the provider rate is nearly *double* (140 per 100K) that of the national average, would be incorrect by a factor of 2X.

As a result, **evidence-based** strategic initiatives (e.g., physician staffing, therapeutic distribution) must consider inter-market differences to effectively meet the care needs and preferences of individual healthcare consumers in each market.

Analytic Approach

As an illustrative example, we identified three pairs of similarly sized CBSAs where HCA Healthcare, the largest health system in the U.S., has a market presence. HCA’s presence in 21 states provides ample opportunity to assess unique markets with varying levels of demand for healthcare services. We identified two of HCA’s larger markets (Jacksonville, FL and Salt Lake City, UT) with populations greater than 1M; two medium markets (Chattanooga, TN and Anchorage, AK) with populations between 350-750K; and two small markets (Bowling Green, KY and Idaho Falls, ID) with similar populations under 200K.

Findings

While markets can be characteristically similar, they can have vastly different healthcare demand and supply. Despite being geographically dispersed, many HCA markets are similar in population size, demographics, and consumer psychographics (Figure 1). Even so, there is dissimilarity in supply (e.g., rate of primary care providers) and demand (e.g., projected surgical demand growth) across these HCA markets. Not every health system can be like HCA or Cleveland Clinic; in fact, almost none can be. However, every health system (or health plan, life sciences company, etc.) can more effectively meet the needs of patients they serve by applying successful strategies in similar patient populations.

FIGURE 1. SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES IN DEMOGRAPHICS AND HEALTHCARE SUPPLY AND DEMAND FOR SELECT HCA MARKETS

	CBSA	POPULATION SIZE	DOMINANT PSYCHOGRAPHIC PROFILE	WHITE TO NON-WHITE POPULATION RATIO	MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME	PROJECTED SURGICAL DEMAND CAGR (2021-2030)	PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS PER 100K
NATIONAL	United States of America	331,893,745	Willful Endurer (26.7%)	3.2 to 1	\$65K	4.9%	71
SMALL MARKETS	Bowling Green, KY	186K	Willful Endurer (30.4%)	4.6 to 1	\$47.7K	5.6%	77
	Idaho Falls, ID	160K	Willful Endurer (34.4%)	4.2 to 1	\$54K	5.6%	91
MEDIUM MARKETS	Chattanooga, TN-GA	575K	Willful Endurer (27.2%)	3.5 to 1	\$51.1K	5.4%	93
	Anchorage, AK	413K	Willful Endurer (40.0%)	2.1 to 1	\$74.8K	5.2%	89
LARGE MARKETS	Jacksonville, FL	1.6M	Willful Endurer (37.4%)	1.7 to 1	\$60.1K	5.8%	106
	Salt Lake City, UT	1.3M	Willful Endurer (38.7%)	2.2 to 1	\$69.5K	4.8%	140

Note: HCA was selected due to its status as the largest health system in the U.S. by revenue and number of beds. Population size reflected for 2021. Source: Trilliant Health national all-payer claims and consumer database; proprietary demand forecast model; U.S. Census Bureau.



Machine learning and similarity modeling enable healthcare stakeholders to identify similar markets and *true peers* accurately, thus informing evidence-based decision making. How will your strategy change knowing that the current market you are benchmarking against is more dissimilar to your own than originally thought? Will this help your organization identify why certain markets in your portfolio are performing better than others? Identify partnership opportunities? Develop strategies to address health disparities? Where to prioritize public health resources? How to design value-based networks?

Improving U.S. healthcare outcomes and affordability requires an exponentially better approach to decision making. Now is the time to modernize benchmarking, the foundation for developing evidence-based strategies.

Thanks to Kelly Boyce and Katie Patton for their research support.